

# TESTING THE MARKOV ASSUMPTION IN GENERAL MULTI-STATE MODELS

Andrew C. Titman <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Department of Mathematics & Statistics, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YZ, United Kingdom. a.titman@lancaster.ac.uk*

**Abstract.** Tests of the Markov property for general multi-state models are constructed using a similar principle to the construction of the landmark Aalen-Johansen estimator (LMAJ). For a given starting state  $r$  and time  $t$ , the sets of patients who were, and who were not, in that state at that time can be identified and treated as two distinct groups. Under a Markov assumption, the transition intensities in these two groups at times greater than  $t$  will be equal. Thus, a series of log-rank test statistics for each of the relevant transition intensities can be combined to construct a local test of Markovianity. Moreover, the set of statistics across different times,  $t$ , and starting states,  $r$ , form a stochastic process allowing the construction of a global test. While the asymptotic null distribution of the statistic can be determined, a wild bootstrap procedure is proposed to better approximate the null distribution in finite samples.

**Keywords.** multi-state model, log-rank test, wild bootstrap, non-Markov process

## 1 Introduction

The transition probabilities are a key quantity of interest in multi-state models. The Aalen-Johansen (AJ) estimator (Aalen & Johansen, 1978) offers a non-parametric estimator which is consistent under a Markov assumption and is known to also provide a consistent estimator of the state-occupancy probabilities for non-Markov processes (Datta & Satten, 2001).

Recently there has been substantial interest in the construction of estimators of the transition probabilities for multi-state models from right-censored data, which remain robust for non-Markov processes. Initially the estimators were restricted to the progressive illness-death model (Meira-Machado *et al*, 2006; Allignol *et al*, 2014; ). Titman (2015) proposed the first general estimator. Putter & Spitoni (2016) proposed a simpler and slightly more efficient estimator, the landmark Aalen-Johansen (LMAJ) estimator, which involves estimating  $P_{rs}(t_0, t) = P(X(t)|X(t_0) = r)$ , for process  $\{X(t), t \geq 0\}$ , by applying the standard Aalen-Johansen to the subset of patients who are under observation and in state  $r$  at time  $t_0$ . While this estimator remains consistent when the multi-state process of interest is non-Markov, the use of only a subset of the total patients leads to a reduction in efficiency compared to the standard AJ estimator. It is therefore of practical interest to determine whether the Markov property holds within a particular dataset in order to determine whether the AJ or LMAJ is more appropriate.

For the illness-death model without recovery, Rodríguez-Girondo and Uña-Álvarez (2012) proposed a non-parametric test of Markovianity based upon the Kendall's  $\tau$  between the time of exit from the healthy state and time of death. The purpose of the current work is to propose a non-parametric test for general multi-state models with an arbitrary number of states and the potential inclusion of backward transitions.

## 2 Construction of the test

Consider an individual starting time,  $t_0$  and a state of interest  $r$ . Let  $X_i(t)$  denote the multi-state process for subject  $i$  at time  $t$ , and let  $Y_i(t)$  be the corresponding at risk indicator. Two groups of subjects can be defined by  $\mathcal{S} = \{i : X_i(t_0) = r, Y_i(t_0) = 1\}$  and  $\mathcal{S}^c = \{i : X_i(t_0) \neq r, Y_i(t_0) = 1\}$ . Under the LMAJ estimator, only the subjects in  $\mathcal{S}$  would contribute to the estimate, whereas the AJ estimator would use both sets of subjects. Moreover, under a Markov process, the transition intensities of the process for  $t > t_0$  will be the same in both groups. A local test of Markovianity can be constructed by considering the log-rank statistics for each of the transition intensities  $\alpha_{lm}(t)$  for  $l \in \mathcal{R}_r$  where  $\mathcal{R}_r$  represents the set of states which are reachable from state  $r$  and can be reached if  $r$  has already been exited. Under the null hypothesis of a Markov process, each log-rank statistic,  $Z_{lm}^{(r)}(t_0)$ , will have an asymptotic  $N(0, 1)$  distribution. A weighted sum of the squared statistics,  $W^{(r)}(t_0) = \sum_{l,m} w_{lm}(t_0) Z_{lm}^{(r)}(t_0)^2$ , for weights,  $w_{lm}(t_0)$ , such as the number of subjects who enter state  $l$  after time  $t_0$ , can serve as an overall statistic. A 'local' test can thus be constructed by rejecting the null hypothesis if  $W^{(r)}(t_0)$  is large compared to its null distribution.

Test statistics,  $W^{(r)}(t_0)$  can be constructed for all times  $t_0$  in a suitably chosen interval,  $[\tau_0, \tau)$ , and for all non-absorbing states  $r$ . A global test statistic can then be constructed using

$$W_g = \max_r \sup_t \bar{W}^{(r)}(t),$$

where  $\bar{W}^{(r)}(t)$  is an appropriately standardized version of a statistic to give it a comparable null mean and variance.

## 3 Null distribution of the statistic

Through standard counting process methods, under the null hypothesis the log-rank statistics corresponding to a particular transition ( $l \rightarrow m$ ),  $\mathbf{Z}_{lm}(t) = (Z_{lm}^{(1)}(t), \dots, Z_{lm}^{(R-1)}(t))$ ,  $t \in [\tau_0, \tau)$  can be shown to converge to a zero mean Gaussian process with a relatively straightforward covariance function. Moreover, under the null hypothesis, the log-rank processes from distinction transition intensities (i.e. where either  $l' \neq l$  or  $m' \neq m$ ) are asymptotically independent. As a consequence, the null distribution could be approximated

by simulating a large number of supremum statistics from the appropriate Gaussian processes.

However, a better small sample approximation can be obtained by using a wild bootstrap (Lin, 1994) in which the increments of the counting processes are replaced by independent normal random variables.

## 4 Example: Illness-death model without recovery

To illustrate the test, consider the illness-death model without recovery, where subjects begin in state 1 (healthy) at time 0 and may proceed to state 2 (illness) or state 3 (death). In this case, when  $r = 1$  the only transition intensity estimable from both  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{S}^c$  is  $\alpha_{23}$ . Moreover, since subjects under observation are either in state 1 or state 2,  $W^{(1)}(t) = W^{(2)}(t) = Z_{23}^{(1)}(t)^2$ . As a consequence, the global statistic reduces to  $W_g = \sup_t W^{(1)}(t)$ . Such a test is particularly well suited to detecting pathological non-Markov processes where the transition intensity at future times depends specifically on which state was occupied at a fixed time in the past. For instance, consider the model used in Titman (2015), where  $\alpha_{12} = 0.12$ ,  $\alpha_{13} = 0.03$  and

$$\alpha_{23}(t) = \begin{cases} 0.05 & \text{if } X(4) = 1 \\ 0.1 & \text{if } X(4) \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

For each simulated dataset, 500 patients are simulated with independent right-censoring times  $C \sim \text{Exp}(0.04)$ . The statistic was computed for 10000 simulated datasets, where each time the supremum in the period  $t \in [3, 15)$  was computed. The global test of Rodríguez-Girondo and Uña-Álvarez (2012) was also calculated over the same interval. In this, albeit favourable, situation the proposed test has a power of 96.6% compared to 31.3% for the Kendall's  $\tau$  based test.

## Bibliography

- [1] Aalen, O.O, and Johansen, S. (1978). An empirical transition matrix for non-homogeneous Markov chains based on censored observations. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics*, 5, 141-150.
- [2] Allignol, A., Beyersmann, J., Gerds, T. and Latouche, A. (2013). A competing risks approach for nonparametric estimation of transition probabilities in a non-Markov illness-death model. *Lifetime Data Analysis*, 20, 495-513.
- [3] Datta, S. and Satten, G.A. (2001). Validity of the Aalen-Johansen estimators of stage occupancy probabilities and Nelson-Aalen estimators of integrated transition hazards for non-Markov models. *Statistics and Probability Letters*, 55, 403-411.

- [4] Lin, D. (1997). Non-parametric inference for cumulative incidence functions in competing risks studies. *Statistics in Medicine*, 16, 901-910.
- [5] Meira-Machado, L., Uña-Álvarez, J. and Cadarso-Suarez, C. (2006). Nonparametric estimation of transition probabilities in a non-Markov illness-death model. *Lifetime Data Analysis*, 12, 325-344.
- [6] Putter, H., and Spitoni, C. (2016). Non-parametric estimation of transition probabilities in non-Markov multi-state models: the landmark Aalen-Johansen estimator. *Statistical Methods in Medical Research*, DOI:10.1177/0962280216674497
- [7] Rodríguez-Girondo, M., de Uña-Álvarez, J. (2012). A nonparametric test for Markovianity in the illnessdeath model. *Statistics in Medicine*, 31, 4416-4427.
- [8] Titman, A.C. (2015). Transition Probability Estimates for Non-Markov Multi-state Models. *Biometrics*, 71, 1034-1041.